Disqualification of a Primary-Election Candidate for Previously Running as an Independent

Swanson v. Pitt (Myron H. Thompson, M.D. Ala. 2:04-cv-534)

A would-be candidate for the United States Senate filed a pro se federal complaint alleging that it was improper to exclude him as a candidate in a primary election for having previously run as an independent. The district judge declined to issue a temporary restraining order; later, he granted the defendants summary judgment

Subject: Getting on the ballot. *Topics*: Getting on the ballot; primary election; pro se party.

A would-be candidate for the United States Senate filed a pro se federal complaint in the Middle District of Alabama on June 1, 2004, claiming that it was improper to exclude him as a candidate in the Democratic primary election for having previously run as an independent. In 2002, the plaintiff attempted to qualify for the ballot as an independent candidate, and failing to do so he ran as a write-in candidate. Among the relief sought was a temporary restraining order.

On June 2, Judge Myron H. Thompson declined to issue a temporary restraining order.⁴ On August 4, he granted the defendants summary judgment.⁵

.

^{1.} Complaint, Swanson v. Pitt, No. 2:04-cv-534 (M.D. Ala. June 1, 2004), D.E. 1 [hereinafter *Swanson* Complaint]; Swanson v. Pitt, 330 F. Supp. 2d 1269, 1271–72 (M.D. Ala. 2004).

^{2.} Swanson, 330 F. Supp. 2d at 1273 & n.6; see Swanson v. Worley, 490 F.3d 894 (11th Cir. 2007) (denying relief from a signature requirement); Swanson v. Bennett, 219 F. Supp. 2d 1225 (M.D. Ala. 2002) (providing relief to other candidates with sufficient signatures from a last-minute change in the due date); Opinion, Swanson v. Bennett, No. 2:02-cv-1244 (M.D. Ala. Feb. 18, 2003), D.E. 18 (denying relief for alleged interferences with write-in votes).

^{3.} Swanson Complaint, supra note 1.

^{4.} Order, Swanson, No. 2:04-cv-534 (M.D. Ala. June 2, 2004), D.E. 14.

^{5.} Swanson, 330 F. Supp. 2d 1269.